

Name \_\_\_\_\_

**Final Exam, Economics 210A, December 2011**

Here are some remarks to help you with answering the questions.

Question 1. *A firm has a production function*

$$F(x_1, x_2) = (\sqrt{x_1} + \sqrt{x_2})^2.$$

*It is a price taker in the factor markets.*

**A)** *Is this production function homogeneous? If so, of what degree?*

It is homogeneous of degree 1.

**B)** *Is this production function concave? Prove your answer.*

Yes. You could prove this by showing that the Hessian is negative semi-definite. Alternatively, you can show that it is quasi-concave (you need to actually show this) and homogeneous of degree 1 and using the result that such a function is concave.

Irving Fernandez offered an even neater proof. Note that

$$(\sqrt{x_1} + \sqrt{x_2})^2 = x_1 + 2\sqrt{x_1x_2} + x_2.$$

Show that this is the sum of concave functions and hence a concave function.

**C)** *Define the elasticity of substitution between two factors and calculate the elasticity of substitution between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  for the firm in this problem.*

Elasticity of substitution for this function is 2.

**D)** *Where the prices of the two inputs are  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , find the amount of each factor that would be used to produce one unit of output in the cheapest possible way.*

$$x_1(p, 1) = \left( \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \right)^2$$

$$x_2(p, 1) = \left( \frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2} \right)^2$$

**E)** Where the prices of the two inputs are  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , find the amount of each factor that would be used to produce  $y$  units of output in the cheapest possible way.

$$x_1(p, y) = \left( \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \right)^2 y$$

$$x_2(p, y) = \left( \frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2} \right)^2 y$$

**F)** Find the cost function for producing this good.

$$p_1 x_1(p, y) + p_2 x_2(p, y) = \left( \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \right) y$$

**Question 2.** A consumer has utility function

$$U(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^{-1} + x_2^{-1})^{-1}$$

defined over the set  $\{(x_1, x_2) | x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0\}$ .

**A)** Is this utility function strictly increasing in both goods at all points in its domain? (Prove your answer.)

Yes, take derivatives and show that they are positive.

**B)** Find this consumer's Marshallian demand functions for goods 1 and 2.

$$x_1(p, m) = \frac{m}{p_1 + \sqrt{p_1 p_2}}$$

$$x_2(p, m) = \frac{m}{p_2 + \sqrt{p_1 p_2}}$$

**C)** Find this consumer's indirect utility function.

$$V(p, m) = \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2 + \sqrt{p_1 p_2}} = \frac{m}{(\sqrt{p_1} + \sqrt{p_2})^2}$$

**D)** Verify that Roy's identity applies.

This is a matter of straightforward calculation.

**Question 3.** A consumer has utility function

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_3^a U(x_1, x_2)^{1-a}$$

where  $0 < a < 1$  and

$$U(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^{-1} + x_2^{-1})^{-1}.$$

**A)** Solve for the Marshallian demand functions for goods 1, 2, and 3, using a two step procedure in which you first find the highest utility that a consumer can achieve if he spends a total amount of money  $m$  on goods 1 and 2. (Hint: use the answer from Question 2 to help you.) Now if the consumer has total income  $M$ , find the best way to divide his expenditure, spending  $M - m$  on good 3 and  $m$  on goods 1 and 2.

If the consumer spends a total of  $m$  on goods 1 and 2 and  $M - m$  on good 3, her utility will be

$$x_3^a V(p_1, p_2, m)^{1-a} = \left( \frac{M - m}{p_3} \right)^a \left( \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2 + \sqrt{p_1 p_2}} \right)^{1-a}$$

The consumer will choose  $m$  to maximize this function. This is maximized when  $M - m = aM$  and  $m = (1 - a)M$ . But then

$$x_3(p, M) = \frac{M - m}{p_3} = \frac{aM}{p_3}$$

and (using the answer to problem 2) we have

$$x_1(p, m) = \frac{(1 - a)M}{p_1 + \sqrt{p_1 p_2}}$$

$$x_2(p, m) = \frac{(1 - a)M}{p_2 + \sqrt{p_1 p_2}}$$

**B** Write down the indirect utility function for this consumer.

$$V(p, m) = M a^a (1 - a)^{1-a} p_3^{-a} (p_1 + p_2 + \sqrt{p_1 p_2})^{a-1}$$

#### Question 4.

**A )** State the weak axiom of revealed preference.

Let  $x^0$  be the bundle chosen at prices  $p^0$  and  $x^1$  the bundle chosen at prices  $p^1$ . If  $p^0x^1 \leq p^0x^0$ , then  $p^1x^0 > p^1x^1$ .

**B)** Prove that a utility-maximizing consumer who has strictly monotonic and strictly convex preferences will necessarily satisfy the weak axiom of revealed preference.

If preferences are strictly convex and if  $x^0$  is chosen at prices  $p^0$ , it must be that  $u(x^0) > u(x)$  for all  $x$  such that  $px \leq p^0x^0$ . (You should show why this is true.) Suppose that  $p^0x^1 \leq p^0x^0$ . Then it must be that  $u(x^0) > u(x^1)$ . If  $x^1$  is chosen at price vector  $p^1$ , it must be that  $u(x^1) > u(x)$  for all  $x$  such that  $p^1x \leq p^1x^1$ . Since  $u(x^0) > u(x^1)$ , it therefore cannot be that  $p^1x^0 \leq p^1x^1$ . It follows that if  $p^0x^1 \leq p^0x^0$ , it must be that  $p^1x^0 > p^1x^1$ .

**C)** Show that a utility-maximizing consumer with weakly convex preferences might violate the weak axiom of revealed preference.

Consider the following example. This is weakly convex but not strictly convex. Let  $p^0 = (1, 1)$  and also let  $p^1 = (1, 1)$ . Then the commodity bundle  $(x_1^0, x_2^0) = (2, 0)$  could be chosen by a utility maximizing consumer with utility function  $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$  and income 2. The bundle  $x^1 = (1, 1)$  could also be chosen by the same utility maximizing consumer with income 2. Now  $p^0x^1 = p^0x^0 = p^1x^1 = p^1x^0 = 2$ . Therefore we have  $p^0x^1 \leq p^0x^0$  and also  $p^1x^0 \leq p^1x^1$  in violation of the weak axiom of revealed preference.

**Question 5.** An economy has two consumers and two goods. Consumer A has the utility function

$$U(x_1^A, x_2^A) = \alpha \ln x_1^A + (1 - \alpha) \ln x_2^A$$

and an initial endowment of  $\omega_1^A$  units of good 1 and no good 2. Consumer B has the utility function

$$U(x_1^B, x_2^B) = \beta \ln x_1^B + (1 - \beta) \ln x_2^B$$

and an initial endowment of  $\omega_2^B$  units of good 2 and no good 1.

**A)** Let good 2 be the numeraire with a price of 1, and denote the price of good 1 by  $p$ . Solve for the demand for good 1 by each consumer as a function of  $p$ .

Consumer A's income is  $p\omega_1^A$ . Solving A's maximization problem, we find that A spends the fraction  $\alpha$  of her income on good 1. Thus we have

$$D_1^A(p) = \alpha\omega_1^A.$$

Consumer  $B$ 's income is  $\omega_2^B$  and  $B$  spends the fraction  $\beta$  of his income on good 1. This implies that

$$D_1^B(p) = \frac{\omega_2^B}{p}.$$

**B)** Write an equation for excess demand for good 1 as a function of price  $p$  of good 1 when good 2 is the numeraire. At what price or prices  $p$  is this excess demand for good 1 equal to zero.

$$E_1(p) = D_1^A(p) + D_1^B(p) - \omega_1^A = \alpha\omega_1^A + \frac{\beta\omega_2^B}{p} - \omega_1^A.$$

Therefore  $E_1(\bar{p}) = 0$  when

$$\frac{\beta\omega_2^B}{\bar{p}} = (1 - \alpha)\omega_1^A$$

which is the case when

$$\bar{p} = \frac{\beta\omega_2^B}{(1 - \alpha)\omega_1^A}.$$

**C)** If the price of good 1 is the price you found in Part B, at what price will excess demand for good 2 be zero?

The same price, by Walras' Law.

**D)** Is there a competitive equilibrium in which the price of good 2 is 3? If so, what must the price of good 1 be for there to be a competitive equilibrium with the price of good 2 equal to 3?

Yes, multiplying all prices by a positive constant preserves competitive equilibrium, so  $p_2 = 3$  and

$$p_1 = 3\bar{p} = 3 \frac{\beta\omega_2^B}{(1 - \alpha)\omega_1^A}$$

is also a competitive equilibrium.

Since as we saw in our solution, there is only one competitive equilibrium with  $p_2 = 1$ , there also is only one competitive equilibrium in which  $p_2 = 3$ .

**E)** Find the quantities of good 1 and good 2 consumed by person A in competitive equilibrium. Find the quantities of good 1 and good 2 consumed by person B in competitive equilibrium.

$$\begin{aligned}x_1^A &= \alpha\omega_1^A \\x_1^B &= (1 - \alpha)\omega_1^A \\x_2^A &= \beta\omega_2^B \\x_2^B &= (1 - \beta)\omega_2^B\end{aligned}$$

**F)** Suppose that person B's initial endowment of good 2 is increased by one unit. What is the effect on the equilibrium consumption of good 1 by person B? What is the effect on the equilibrium consumption of good 2 by person B?

Differentiate your answers to Part E to find:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial x_1^B}{\partial \omega_2^B} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial x_2^B}{\partial \omega_2^B} &= 1 - \beta\end{aligned}$$

**Question 6.** A pure exchange economy has 1000 people and one consumption good. There are two possible states of the world. If State A occurs, everyone will have an initial endowment of 10 units of the consumption good. If State B occurs, each person will have an initial endowment of 20 units of the consumption good. Each person is an expected utility maximizer, with von Neumann-Morgenstern (Bernoullian) expected utility  $\pi^i \ln c_A^i + (1 - \pi^i) \ln c_B^i$  where  $\pi^i$  is person  $i$ 's subjective probability that Event A happens and  $1 - \pi^i$  is  $i$ 's subjective probability that B happens, and where  $c_A^i$  and  $c_B^i$  are  $i$ 's consumption contingent on these events.

Before it is known which state will occur, persons can buy or sell either of two kinds of securities. A type A security will pay one unit of the consumption good if State A occurs and nothing if state B occurs. A type B security will pay one unit of the consumption good if state B occurs and nothing if state A occurs. The price of type A securities is  $p_A$  per unit and the price of type B securities is  $p_B$  per unit. Let  $c_A^i$  and  $c_B^i$  be  $i$ 's consumption in events A and

$B$ , respectively. The difference between the value of  $i$ 's consumption in event  $A$  and  $i$ 's endowment in event  $A$  is equal to the number of type  $A$  securities that  $i$  purchases if this difference is positive and sells if this difference is negative. Similarly for type  $B$  securities. For each person, the total value of securities purchased must be equal to the total value of securities sold. Thus  $p_A(c_A^i - 10) + p_B(c_B^i - 20) = 0$  for all  $i$ .

**A)** Let the type  $B$  security be the numeraire. Find the demand of person  $i$  for type  $A$  securities as a function of  $p_A$  and of  $i$ 's subjective probability  $\pi^i$ .

$$c_i^A = \frac{\pi^i}{p_A}(10p_A + 20)$$

**B)** If everybody has the same subjective probability for  $\pi^i = \pi$  for event  $A$ , what is the competitive equilibrium price for type  $A$  securities?

In this case, excess demand for type  $A$  securities is

$$1000\left(\frac{\pi}{p_A}(10p_A + 20) - 10\right).$$

Therefore excess demand is zero when

$$\frac{\pi}{p_A}(10p_A + 20) - 10 = 0.$$

Solving this equation we have

$$\bar{p}_A = \frac{2}{1 - \pi}.$$

**C)** Solve for the competitive price for type  $A$  securities where different people have different subjective probabilities for event  $A$ .

In equilibrium, we must have total excess demand for consumption contingent on event  $A$  equal to zero. Thus we need

$$\sum_{i=1}^{1000} \frac{\pi^i}{p_A}(10p_A + 20) = 10,000. \quad (1)$$

Define

$$\bar{p}^i = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{i=1}^{1000} \pi^i.$$

Thus  $\bar{p}^i$  is just the mean of the individual probabilities of event  $A$ . Divide both sides of equation 1 by 1000 to get

$$\frac{\bar{p}^i}{p_A} (10p_A + 20) = 10.$$

Solving this one finds the equilibrium price is

$$\bar{p}_A = \frac{2}{1 - \bar{\pi}}.$$

**D)** Suppose that  $\pi^i \neq \pi^j$ , what is the ratio of person  $i$ 's competitive equilibrium consumption in event  $A$  to that of person  $j$  in event  $A$ ?

$$\frac{c_A^i}{c_A^j} = \frac{\pi^i}{\pi^j}.$$